

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,329	07/24/2003	Richard Anthony	5907.36024	1382	
21000 75	21000 7590 10/05/2004			EXAMINER	
•	NES, MCMACKIN,	LA, ANH V			
BATES, P.C.					
BURNETT PL	AZA 2000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	STREET, UNIT #46	2636			
FORT WORTH	H, TX 76102-6836		DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/626,329	ANTHONY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anh V La	2636			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
		arminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 7/24/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/626,329 Page 2

Art Unit: 2636

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4, and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berkcan in view of VonDrasek.

Regarding claims 1 and 11, Berkcan discloses an apparatus/method for detecting a hazardous fire condition comprising a stove top 10 having one or more heating element, an array of sensors 24, 28, for sensing at least two physical parameters of the stove top, a processor 40 having inputs connected to the sensor array and an output to indicate the presence of a hazardous fire condition, the processor distinguishing a predetermined hazardous fire condition from a non-hazardous fire condition based upon the inputs and producing an output to indicated whether the condition is hazardous or non-hazardous. Berkcan does not clearly disclose the processor comprising a neural network. VonDrasek teaches the use of a processor comprising a neural network (abstract, column 13, lines 55-67, col. 17, lines 30-38, col. 18, lines 5-10). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include a neural network to the processor of the apparatus/method of Berkcan as taught by VonDrasek for the purpose of effectively distinguishing a predetermined hazardous fire condition from a non-hazardous fire

Application/Control Number: 10/626,329

Art Unit: 2636

condition based upon the inputs and producing an output to indicated whether the condition is hazardous or non-hazardous.

Regarding claims 2 and 12, Berkcan discloses a temperature sensor 28 and at least one optical sensor 24.

Regarding claim 4, Berkcan discloses an infrared sensor 24 (column 4, lines 59-67).

3. Claims 3, 5-7, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berkcan in view of VonDrasek as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Wong.

Regarding claim 3, 5-7, and 13, Berkcan in view of VonDrasek teaches all the claimed subject matter as set forth above in the rejection of claim 4, but does not disclose an ultraviolet light sensor (claim 3), a carbon monoxide sensor (claims 5-6, and 13), and a hydrocarbon sensor (claim 7). Wong teaches the use of an ultraviolet light sensor (col. 1, lines 25-40), a carbon monoxide sensor (col. 6, lines 25-40), and a hydrocarbon sensor (col. 8, lines 5-35). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include an ultraviolet light sensor, a carbon monoxide sensor, and a hydrocarbon sensor to the apparatus/method of Berkcan (as modified by VonDrasek) as taught by Wong for the purpose of effectively detecting a hazardous fire condition.

4. Claims 8-10, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berkcan in view of VonDrasek as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Birging.

Regarding claims 8-10 and 14, Berkcan in view of VonDrasek teaches all the claimed subject matter as set forth above in the rejection of claim 1, and further discloses a control unit 42, but does not disclose the control unit turning off the stove heating element in response to a hazardous condition output (claims 8 and 14), a wireless channel (claim 9), the sensor unit being located above the stove top and beneath a microwave oven (claim 10). Birging teaches the use of a control unit turning off a heating element in response to a hazardous condition output (col. 6, lines 1-15), a wireless channel (col. 6, lines 1-15), the sensor unit being located above the stove top and beneath a microwave oven (figures 1-3, col. 2, lines 15-55). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include the control unit turning off the stove heating element in response to a hazardous condition output, a wireless channel, the sensor unit being located above the stove top and beneath a microwave oven to the apparatus of Berkcan (as modified by VonDrasek) as taught by Birging for the purpose of avoiding a hazardous fire event.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Stehling, Galosky, and Nishii teach fire extinguishing systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh V La whose telephone number is (571) 272-2970. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffery Hofsass can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANH V. LA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jeun com

Anh V La Primary Examiner Art Unit 2636

Al September 24, 2004